

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,055	•	09/05/2003	Chih-Chin Chang	10658-US-PA	2054
31561	7590	06/01/2006		EXAMINER	
		NTELLECTUAL PR	TRINH, MINH N		
	7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100				PAPER NUMBER
TAIPEI,					
TAIWAN	TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/605,055	CHANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Minh Trinh	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ap This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers		,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/605,055 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho et al (6,905,621) in view of Lee et al (6,653,028). This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, paragraphs 3-4, dated 1/12/06.
- 3. Claims 2-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho et al (6,905,621) in view of Lee et al (6,653,028) as applied above and further in view of Blatchford, Jr. et al (6,680,150). This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, paragraph 5, dated 1/12/06.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on or about 4/12/06 regarding the rejected pending claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

Applicants argue that the references as a whole does not teach the limitation recites: "an edge pattern at the edge of the contact pattern wherein the edge pattern is a half tone region" (see "Remarks", page 4, paragraphs 4-5). The Examiner disagrees because it is clearly that ho discloses the mask for forming contact (see Fig. 2a, reference 32) noting the Ho's mask 32 does have an exposure and non exposure

Application/Control Number: 10/605,055

Art Unit: 3729

regions namely 33 and 34 and his exposure region 33 does include "an edge pattern at the edge of the contact pattern or hole" and the edge pattern of ho can be used as "a half tone region". Further, the claims directed to a structure such as "Mask " and the Ho reference does meet every aspect limitation of claim 1, therefore, the rejection based on Ho is valid for similar reasons as provided from record. Note: Applicant's arguments are more specific than the claim language. Applicant lends great means to the term "a half tone region"." The examiner takes the position that the "half tone region" is broad and has not been greatly limited by the claims. The prior art relied upon satisfies the examiners interpretation of the claim language.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the present invention the edge pattern of the contact that produces the half tone effect, etc., see under the "Remarks" page 5, paragraphs 2-3) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not to be read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) And *In re*

Art Unit: 3729

Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it is clearly that these references as relied by the Examiner are in the same field invention as the present application and the motivation for the combination can be found in either of the references (i.e., see Batch ford Jr. discussion at col. 3, lines 46-67, or Lee' discussion at col. 1, lines 65-67, etc.).

For all reasons above, Applicants' arguments are not persuasive and are not clearly point out the patentable novelty which they thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the rejections made. Therefore, the prior art rejection is maintained for same reasons of the record.

Interviews After Final

5. Applicants note that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview in presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations, which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search, will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

6. It is noted that any amendment made to the disclosure and the claims. Applicant requires to point out the support provide numeral references to the claimed limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/605,055

Art Unit: 3729

as well as support in the disclosure (i.e., page and line numbers and reference number associated with from the drawings) for better clarity (See 37CFR 1.111 and section 2163.06 of the MPEP).

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mink Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3729

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt 5/18/06

PRIMARY EXAMINER